



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,239	10/28/2003	Richard Hodges	OCTVP008 5275		
7590 09/29/2006			EXAMINER		
Plantronics Inc			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F		
	al Property Department	1271217	B + BEB > W + 40 FB		
345 Encinal Str	eet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Santa Cruz, CA 95060			2614		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/696,23	19	HODGES ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jefferey F	. Harold	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 Sentember 2	2006					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠								
7)🖂	•							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	B)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 12, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-9, 11-14, 17, 20-25 27-30, and 33-42 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Etter et al. (United States Patent 6,760,435), hereinafter referenced as Etter.

Regarding **claim 1**, Etter discloses a method and apparatus for network speech enhancement. In addition, Etter discloses a level adjusting device for use with a nearend telephone, the near-end telephone being operable to generate an outgoing signal

Art Unit: 2614

directed to a far-end telephone and to receive an incoming signal generated at least in part by the far-end telephone, the device comprising: a first signal processor operable to dynamically adjust a first signal level associated with the outgoing signal with reference to the first signal level; and a second signal processor operable to dynamically adjust a second signal level associated with the incoming signal with reference to the second signal level; wherein the first and second signal processors are further operable to control a loop gain to inhibit loop instability, and wherein the first and second signal processors are operable to dynamically adjust the first and second signal levels in a plurality of frequency bands, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 3**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the plurality of bands comprises one of 2, 3, 4, and 5 bands, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 4**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the plurality of bands are selected such that a range of frequencies associated with DTMF signaling is entirely encompassed within a single band, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 5**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the each of the first and second signal processors comprises a static gain control component and a dynamic gain control

Art Unit: 2614

component, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 6**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 5), in addition Etter discloses wherein the static gain component of each of the first and second signal processors is operable to set a static gain for each call, the static gain remaining unchanged for the duration of the corresponding call, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 7**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 6), in addition Etter discloses wherein the static gain is selected with reference to a dynamic range of the associated dynamic control component, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 8**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 6), in addition Etter discloses wherein the dynamic control component of each of the first and second signal processors is operable to dynamically adjust a dynamic gain for each call, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 9**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 8), in addition Etter discloses wherein the dynamic control component of each of the first and second signal processors is operable to dynamically adjust a plurality of dynamic gains for each call, each dynamic gain corresponding to one of the plurality of frequency bands, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding **claim 11**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the incoming and outgoing signals comprise analog signals, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 12**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 11), in addition Etter discloses wherein the analog signals conform to one of a US or international standard specification for connecting a telephone set to a telephone network, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 13**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 11), in addition Etter discloses circuitry for separating and combining the incoming and outgoing signals for processing by the first and second signal processors, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 14**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 13), in addition Etter discloses wherein the circuitry comprises first and second hybrids, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 17**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses bypass circuitry operable to bypass the first and second signal processors, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 20**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses a near-end echo canceller operable to reduce echo in the outgoing signal, and a far-end echo canceller operable to reduce echo in the incoming signal, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 21**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 20), in addition Etter discloses a near-end speech detector for detecting near-end speech and controlling the near-end echo canceller in response thereto, and a far-end speech detector for detecting far-end speech and controlling the far-end echo canceller in response thereto, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 22**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the first and second signal processors are operable to control the loop gain by decreasing at least one of a first gain associated with the first signal processor and a second gain associated with the second signal processor with reference to a combined gain which represents at least a portion of the loop gain, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 23**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 22), in addition Etter discloses wherein the first and second signal processors are operable to control the loop gain by decreasing the first gain when the outgoing signal does not correspond to outgoing speech energy, and decreasing the second gain when

Art Unit: 2614

the incoming signal does not correspond to incoming speech energy, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 24**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 22), in addition Etter discloses wherein each of the first and second gains comprises a plurality of gain components each of which contributes to the combined gain, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 25**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 24), in addition Etter discloses wherein the first and second signal processors are operable to control the loop gain by decreasing only selected ones of the plurality of gain components, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 27**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 24), in addition Etter discloses where the first and second signal processors are further operable to inhibit increases in selected ones of the gain components in the absence of speech energy, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 28**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 22), in addition Etter discloses wherein the combined gain includes a loss component determined with reference to the incoming and outgoing signals, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,239 Page 8

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding **claim 29**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 28), in addition Etter discloses wherein the loss component comprises an estimate of an echo return loss, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 30**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 29), in addition Etter discloses wherein the estimate is determined with reference to a difference signal representative of a difference between a return energy signal corresponding to the incoming signal and an outgoing energy signal corresponding to the outgoing signal, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claim 33**, Etter discloses everything disclosed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Etter discloses wherein the first and second signal processors comprise at least one computer readable medium having computer program instructions stored therein for effecting the dynamic adjustment of the first and second signal levels, as disclosed at column 4, line 41 through column 6, line 14 and exhibited in figure 2.

Regarding **claims 34-42**, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth in the above rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2614

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etter in view of well know prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Regarding **claim 15 and 16**, Etter disclose everything claimed, as applied above, (see claim 1), however, Etter fails to disclose digital signals. However, the examiner takes official notice of the fact that it was well know in the art to provide digital.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Etter by specifically providing digital, for the purpose of providing better sound quality.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10, 18, 19, 26, 31, 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jefferey F/Harold Primary Examiner Page 10

Art Unit 2614

JFH "

September 25, 2006